

Early Direct Detection of Gravity Waves

Redouane Fakir

*Cosmology Group, Department of Physics
University of British Columbia
6224 Agriculture Road
Vancouver, B. C. V6T 1Z1
CANADA*

Abstract

Recently, the possibility has emerged of an early detection of astrophysical gravity waves. In certain astronomical configurations, and through a new light-deflection effect, gravity waves can cause apparent shifts in stellar angular positions as large as $10^{-7} arcsec$. In these same configurations, the magnitude of the gravity-wave-induced time-delay effect can exceed 10^{-14} . Both these figures lie just at present-day theoretical limits of detectability. For instance, cases are described where the very faint neutron-star gravity waves could soon become detectable. The detection meant here involves direct observations of the very wave-forms.

Perhaps the earliest understood physical effect of gravity waves, is their modulating of proper distances [1-4]. The first bar detectors [5], as well as recent detection projects such as LIGO [6] and VIRGO [7], are based on that effect.

The experimental challenge facing such detection efforts is daunting. The expected distance modulations have about the same magnitude as the gravity-wave's amplitude, which is typically smaller than 10^{-22} in the vicinity of the Earth. Thus, these experiments involve detecting shifts much smaller than one Fermi in distances of the order of a kilometer.

Not long ago, it was proposed to explore an approach to gravity-wave detection based on accelerations of null, rather than timelike geodesics [8].

The simplest illustration of this idea is the shifting of apparent stellar positions due to an intervening gravitational pulse [9]. Suppose a supernova flash hits the Earth, coming from the northern celestial hemisphere. This is an indication that a gravitational pulse has also just whipped passed the Earth, and is now interposed between us and all the southern celestial hemisphere. It was calculated that the angular positions of southern stars would then experience apparent shifts of the order of the pulse's amplitude h :

$$|\delta\alpha| \approx \frac{1}{2}h \sin \alpha \quad , \quad (1)$$

where α is the angle of incidence of the light rays with respect to the gravitational wave-front. In the case of "pulses with memory" [10-13], such shifts can be quasi-permanent.

Quantitatively, this version of the effect does nothing to improve the prospects of gravity-wave detection. The angular shifts resulting from eq.(1) should be smaller than $10^{-17} arcsec$, while the present theoretical limit for angular resolutions from Very-Long-Baseline Interferometry is about $10^{-7} arcsec$.

However, this effect presents a feature that distinguishes it qualitatively from many others. In eq.(1), h is not the amplitude of the waves when they meet the Earth. Instead, h is the waves' amplitude when they meet the stellar photons, which eventually reach the Earth. This amounts to a prospect of *remote probing of gravity waves*.

Since $h < h_{(Earth)}$ in the case above, this feature can only worsen the observational situation for this particular illustration. Nevertheless, this same feature can dramatically improve the detectability of the effect, if the con-

figuration defined by the gravity-wave source, the Earth and the light source is, in a sense, inverted [14, 15]. In the new configurations, probing the waves at a distance could mean probing them in regions of space where h is larger, not smaller, than $h_{(Earth)}$.

In the previous illustration, the Earth was placed between the gravity-wave source and the light source. Consider, now, a situation where it is the gravity-wave source that is placed between the Earth and the light source. Then the photons, during their journey towards the Earth, would have encountered gravity-wave crests with heights ranging from $h_{(light-source)}$ to $h(b)$ to $h_{(Earth)}$, where b is the distance of closest approach between the photons and the gravity-wave source, the “impact parameter.”

The hope, of course, is that the photon will “remember” the highest amplitude of gravity waves it sees on its way to the Earth. If so, the analogue of eq.(1) for the new configuration would exhibit $h(b)$ on the right-hand side, not the much smaller $h_{(Earth)}$ or $h_{(light-source)}$. The whole scheme would then amount to remote probing of *strong* gravity-wave sites.

A priori, there are several reasons to fear this scheme would not work. The physics of the photon’s encounter with gravity-waves is more involved in this latter case of spherical wave fronts than in the former plane-wave case.

For example, one could question whether the deflections acquired by a photon during the “ingoing” phase (approaching the gravity-wave source) are not cancelled by deflections during the outgoing phase. Fortunately, the calculation shows this is not the case.

One could also wonder if there would be deflections at all during the outgoing phase. The gravity-wave crests travel at the same speed as the photon itself. Now, the photon is only sensitive to *variations* in h , and it would see no such variations if it travels along with the gravity waves. Nevertheless, in most actual situations, photons and gravity-wave fronts travel *at an angle*. Hence, in the outgoing phase also, photons may see changes in h and experience deflections.

Let the gravity-wave mode of interest be described by

$$h = \frac{H}{r} \exp\{i\Omega(r - t + t_{ph})\} \quad , \quad (2)$$

where t_{ph} determines the wave’s phase. H is a constant that encodes the intrinsic strength of the source. Working in a spherical transverse-traceless

gauge, projecting the problem onto a plane containing the Earth and the light and gravity-wave sources, and considering the optimal alignment case where $b\Omega < 1$, one finds [14]

$$|\delta\phi|_{optimal} \approx \frac{3}{4}\pi\Omega H = \frac{3}{2}\pi^2|h(r=\Lambda)| \quad , \quad (3)$$

where Λ is one gravitational wavelength. The angle ϕ is close to 0, $\pi/2$ and π at the light source, the gravity-wave source and the Earth, respectively.

Let us generalize this result to arbitrary values of the impact parameter b . We can infer from eq.(16) of the above reference that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\phi \approx & \frac{H}{b} e^{i\Omega t_{ph}} \int_0^\pi d\phi \exp \left\{ i\Omega b \frac{1 + \cos \phi}{\sin \phi} \right\} \\ & \times \left[\sin \phi - \frac{3}{2} \sin^3 \phi + i\Omega b \left(\frac{\sin^2 \phi}{2} - 1 - \cos \phi \right) \right] \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

(This was obtained by comparing the two ends of the trajectory $\phi \approx 0$ and $\phi \approx \pi$. One can show that $\delta\phi = b[u_1(\phi \approx 0) + u_1(\phi \approx \pi)]$, where u_1 is the fluctuation of $1/r$.)

Eq.(4) can be rewritten as

$$\delta\phi \approx \frac{H}{b} e^{i\Omega t_{ph}} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{4xe^{ib\Omega x}}{(1+x^2)^2} \left[1 - \frac{6x^2}{(1+x^2)^2} - \frac{ibx^3}{1+x^2} \right] \quad , \quad (5)$$

which integrates nicely to the analytical formula

$$\delta\phi \approx \frac{1}{2}H\Omega e^{i\Omega t_{ph}} \left[(b\Omega + 1)e^{b\Omega} E_1(b\Omega) + (b\Omega - 1)e^{-b\Omega} E_1(-b\Omega) \right] \quad . \quad (6)$$

$E_1(z)$ is the exponential integral function

$$E_1(z) = \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-zt}}{t} dt \quad \text{Re}(z) > 0 \quad , \quad (7)$$

extended analytically to the entire complex plane except $z = 0$. It is straightforward to verify that eq.(5) integrates to eq.(3) in the limit $b\Omega \ll 1$.

Thus, the gravity-wave-induced deflection is equal to the wave's amplitude at only one gravitational wavelength from the source, times a factor that decreases slightly faster than $1/b\Omega$.

There are several actual astronomical configurations to which this approach can be applied. The candidates fall into two classes. In the first, the gravity-wave source and the light source are aligned with the Earth by pure chance. They are two unrelated, far apart celestial objects. Because of the huge number of binary stars in the Galaxy, also because of their relatively large gravity-wave amplitude and wavelength, a lucky alignment of a binary star with some more distant light source would be the typical candidate in this class. Neutron stars are too scarce, too weak, and have too short wavelengths to qualify in this context.

Numerically, candidates in this first category could produce optimal shifts of about 10^{-8}arcsec , remarkably close to the 10^{-7}arcsec theoretical limit of observability. For instance, a binary source with $H = 6\text{cm}$ and an orbital period of 10 hours (i.e. the gravity-wave period is $2\pi/\Omega = 5$ hours) would produce shifts of $2 \times 10^{-8} \text{arcsec}$.

For the second class of candidates, the gravity-wave source and the light source are locked into tight gravitationally bound systems. Common examples of this in the galaxy are stars (as light sources) and binaries (as gravity-wave sources) locked into multiple-star systems or even globular clusters. Of particular observational importance is the case of a binary formed by a neutron star (as the gravity-wave source) and some companion star (as the light source).

Comparison of typical gravitational wavelengths and typical separations shows that the alignment requirement, for this category, is satisfied naturally [14]. Interestingly, through this mechanism, the very faint gravity waves from neutron stars might be no less observable than the ones from binary stars or from cataclysmic sources.

Take, for instance, a system like the well studied binary pulsar PSR B1913+16 [16]. If we are to believe the above analysis, this system and alike could be, indeed, very promising sites for direct gravity-wave detection. (This is, of course, besides the indirect evidence for the existence of gravity waves already provided by the observed secular slow-down of this binary pulsar.)

Consider first, as the gravity-wave source, the dark neutron star that revolves around the actual 17Hz pulsar. Once every $7^h45'$, the two stars

come to within only one light-second (about half a solar radius) of each-other. This is, at most, of the same order of magnitude as the darker companion's gravitational wavelength.

Numerically, the shifts produced in this case may well reach the 10^{-7}arcsec detectability limit [14]. For instance, if the companion is a 1Hz neutron star (i.e. the gravitational frequency is $\Omega/2\pi = 2 \text{sec}^{-1}$), radiating, perhaps through the Chandrasekhar- Friedman-Schutz mechanism [?], with a strength $H = 10^{-6} \text{m}$, then the shifts are typically $5 \times 10^{-8} \text{arcsec}$.

Besides the future prospects of achieving angular resolutions of the order of 10^{-7}arcsec for radio sources by space-based interferometry, there has been considerable progress, recently, towards reaching very high angular resolutions for optical sources as well [18, 19]. Also, the increase in angular resolution power has been accompanied by a considerable improvement in photometric sensitivities, potentially revealing a number of new stellar systems that could be relevant to this study.

In principle, there are two more sources of gravity waves that could be affecting the apparent position of that same light source. One is the pulsar itself. Being a neutron star that rotates 17 times per second, it should be emitting gravity waves at a frequency of 34Hz. However, 1) the angle between the electromagnetic and the gravitational directions of propagation is very small in this case, 2) here there is no incoming, only an outgoing phase. As mentioned above, the combination of these two facts means that the light from the pulsar is unlikely to be deflected by the pulsar's own gravity waves.

The other additional source of waves is the binary system as a whole. (These are the waves for which there is already indirect observational evidence.) Here also, the shortness of the incoming phase and the smallness of the angle between the electromagnetic and the gravitational directions of propagation are a concern. More importantly, there are more considerations that have to be taken into account, before one can make predictions in this case. The photons, here, originate from the gravity-wave source itself, and traverse the near-zone ($r < \Lambda$) before reaching the radiation zone, where our calculations are valid. Such cases necessitate a separate study, where, in particular, dipole Newtonian contributions to the deflection would have to be included.

The consideration of pulsars as sources of the deflected light quickly lead to another gravity-wave detection prospect. Following the above study, the next logical step is to try to exploit the exceptional properties of pulsars,

especially the high stability of their period. This quickly lead to another gravity-wave detection prospect. It stems from the application of an effect that has little to do with the gravity-wave-induced light deflection, namely the gravity-wave-induced *modulation of time delays*, to the same configurations discussed above [15].

The possibility of gravity-wave detection through the modulation of pulsar frequencies by *plane* waves has already been extensively explored [20, 16]. The experimental effort in this field has made it possible to detect fractional frequency modulations as faint as 10^{-14} . Thus, stringent upper limits could be imposed on the cosmological and the galactic gravity-wave backgrounds. Recently, we also learned that, in the wake of this effort, the contribution of individual binary stars was also considered in one instance [21]. Unfortunately, this initial, heuristic investigation was not followed up by the consideration of more promising candidates, such as systems consisting of gravitationally bound light and gravity-wave sources.

In complete analogy with our discussion of the gravity-wave-induced light-deflection effect, the hope here is that, 1) it can be shown rigorously that the crossing of a zone of spherical gravity waves does result in a net frequency modulation; 2) the strongest gravity-wave amplitudes encountered along the trajectory do contribute to the net modulation.

The same worries we had initially for the working of the light-deflection effect (see above), can be expressed here. Once again, the calculation shows these worries not to be founded [15]. It was shown that spherical gravity waves can induce time-delay fluctuations $\delta(\Delta t)$ that vary at a rate

$$\frac{d}{dt_{ph}}\delta(\Delta t) \approx \frac{1}{2}\Omega H e^{i\Omega t_{ph}} \int_{\phi_{initial}}^{\phi_{final}} d\phi \sin \phi \exp \left\{ ib\Omega \frac{1 + \cos \phi}{\sin \phi} \right\} . \quad (8)$$

(Δt is the total time it takes a photon to travel from the light source to the Earth, via the gravity-wave source.)

Here also, the problem has a compact analytical solution. A change of variables can put eq.(8) in the form

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt_{ph}}\delta(\Delta t) \right| \approx 2\Omega H \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{(1+x^2)^2} e^{ib\Omega x} dx \right| . \quad (9)$$

This integrates to

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt_{ph}} \delta(\Delta t) \right| \approx H\Omega \left| \frac{b\Omega}{2} \left(e^{b\Omega} E_1(b\Omega) - e^{-b\Omega} E_1(-b\Omega) \right) - 1 \right|. \quad (10)$$

Hence, numerically, this second effect behaves just like the first one (eq.(6)), at least in orders of magnitude: For optimal alignments, it is as high as the waves' amplitude only one gravitational wavelength away from the source. For larger impact parameters, the effect decreases roughly like $1/b\Omega$.

To use the same numerical illustration as for the previous effect, a binary star with $H = 6\text{cm}$ and a gravity-wave period (half the orbital period) $T = 2\pi/\Omega = 5$ hours, would produce fractional frequency modulations of about 4×10^{-14} . A neutron star with $H = 10^{-6}\text{m}$ and $T = 2\pi/\Omega = 0.5\text{sec}$, would yield frequency modulations as strong as 9×10^{-14} .

Retrospectively, this approach to gravity-wave detection has exploited a perhaps curious observational fact. For several cases of gravity-wave sources that are members of gravitationally bound stellar systems, the stellar separations can be as small as only one gravitational wavelength or so. Thus, in a dense globular cluster, the average stellar separation is of the same order of magnitude as the gravitational wavelength of a typical binary star. For a binary system, one member of which is a neutron star, the orbital size can be comparable to that neutron-star's gravitational wavelength. Hence, there exists many astronomical sites where light sources are constantly moving close to, or even within gravity-wave near-zones.

Acknowledgements

Throughout this research, I benefitted from the precious counsel and support of my teacher, W.G. Unruh. I would like also to thank S. Braham for several informative discussions.

This work would not have been possible without the sustained material support of the Cosmology Group in the Departement of Physics, University of British Columbia.

References

- [1] A. Einstein, *Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, Sitzungsberichte der physikalisch-mathematischen Klasse*, p.688 (1916).
- [2] A. Einstein, *Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, Sitzungsberichte der physikalisch-mathematischen Klasse*, p.154 (1918).
- [3] H. Weyl, *Space-Time-Matter*. Methuen:London (1922).
- [4] A.S. Eddington, *The Mathematical Theory of Relativity*, 2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1924).
- [5] J. Weber, *Physical Review* 117, 306 (1960).
- [6] R.E. Vogt, *The U.S. LIGO Project in Proc. of the Sixth Marcel Grossmann Meeting on GRG, MGC, Kyoto, Japan, 1991*.
- [7] C. Bradaschia et. al., *Nucl. Instrum. & Methods*, A289, 518 (1990).
- [8] R. Fakir (1991), *Gravity Waves and Hipparcos*, proposal presented in *Table Ronde on Moroccan-European Collaboration in Astronomy*, Moroccan Center for Scientific Research Archives, June 1993, Rabat, Morocco.
- [9] R. Fakir (1992), *Gravitational-Wave Detection, a Non-Mechanical Effect*, *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol.418, 20.
- [10] L. Smarr, *Sources of Gravitational Waves* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.)
- [11] S.J. Kovacs and K.S. Thorne, *The Astrophysical Journal* 224, 62 (1978).
- [12] V.B. Braginski and L.P. Grishchuk, *Soviet Physics-JETP* 62, 427 (1985).
- [13] L.P. Grishchuk and A.G. Polnarev, *Sov.Phys. JETP* 69, October 1989.
- [14] R. Fakir (1993), *Gravity-Wave Watching*, UBC preprint UBCTP-93-005.

- [15] R. Fakir (1993), *Almost Readily Detectable Time Delays from Gravity Waves?*, UBC preprint UBCTP-93-006.
- [16] J.H. Taylor, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* 341, 117-134 (1992).
- [17] S. Chandrasekhar, *Physical Review Letters*, **24**, 611 (1970); J.L. Friedman and B.F. Schutz, *The Astrophysical Journal*, **222**, 281 (1978).
- [18] M.A.C. Perryman *et al*, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, **258**, 1 (1992).
- [19] E. Høg (1993), in *Developments in Astronomy and Their Impact on Astrophysics and Geodynamics*, eds: Mueller and Kolaczek, p37-45.
- [20] See, for example, S. Detweiler, *Astrophys. J.* 234, 1100 (1979); R.W. Romani and J.H. Taylor, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 265, L65 (1983); R.W. Hellings and G.S. Downs, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 265, L39 (1983); D.R. Stinebring, M.F. Ryba, J.H. Taylor and R.W. Romani, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 65-3, 285 (1990) and references therein.
- [21] M.V. Sazhin, *Sov. Astron.-AJ* 22, p36 (1978).